UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,583	11/26/2003	Edward Krause	RGB-101	7608	
20028 7590 11/24/2008 Lipsitz & McAllister, LLC				EXAMINER	
755 MAIN STR	REET		O CONNOR, BRIAN T		
MONROE, CT 06468			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2419		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	0/723,583 KRAUSE ET AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BRIAN T. O'CONNOR	2419	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Brian T. O'Connor (Patent Examiner)</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Douglas McAllister (Rgr. # 37,886; for Applicant)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>18 November 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	;]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,15 and 24</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Meggers, Heddes, and	<u>Candelore</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicant's arguments coas applied to claims 1, 15, and 24 were discussed. The Exwith respect to claims 1, 15, and 24. No consensus was reacted. All the consensus was reacted. All the consensus was reacted allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE, or the consensus was reacted as a consensus was reacted as a comment of the consensus was reacted.	encerning the contents of Megraminer agreed to re-consider teached at the conclusion of the ments which the examiner agropy of the amendments that will.) CCTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, RVIEW. See Summary of Reconstructions of the second seco	gers, Heddes, ar the arguments of e interview. reed would render yould render the SUBSTANCE Of been filed, APP ODAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 2419	

Application No.

Applicant(s)